pour

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

REC'D 16 JAN 2001 RT WIPO PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PF-0627 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US99/25458	PHICATION NO.				
		PC			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC Please See Supplemental Sheet.					
Applicant INCYTE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC	2.				
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of 5 sheets.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
These annexes consist of a to	otal of sheets.				
3. This report contains indication	ns relating to the following i	tems:	`		
I X Basis of the repo	ort .				
II Priority	II Priority				
III X Non-establishme	III X Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of	invention				
V X Reasoned stateme citations and expl					
VI Certain documents	s cited				
VII Certain defects in	the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Da	te of completion	n of this report		
17 MAY 2000		02 JANUARY 2001			
Name and mailing address of the IPE	1, 00	thorized officer	Selected 165		
Commissioner of Patents and Trad	emarks	PREMA MER	TZ		
Washington, D.C. 20231	т.		(703) 308-0196		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		repriorie 140.	(103) 300-0130		

# International application No.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/US99/25458	

I. B	lasis of the re	port				
1. Wit	h regard to the e	lements of the inter	national applicatio	n:*		
X	1	onal application a				
X	nages	1-61		-		, as originally filed
	pages	NONE				, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE		_ , filed with the letter of	f	
$\mathbf{x}$	the claims:					
· · · · · ·	pages	62-63		1 1 4 41		, as originally filed
	pages			, as amended (together	with any sta	filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	C1 - 1	ith the letter of		, med with the demand
	pages	NUNE	, filed w	in the letter of	<del></del> -	
	the drawing	s·				
X	the drawings					, as originally filed
	DAGES	NONE				, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE		, filed with the letter of		
	pages			,		
X	the sequence	e listing part of th	e description:			
-نا	pages	1-10				, as originally filed
	magaa	NONE				filed with the demand
	pages	NONE		, filed with the letter of		
	_			onal application (under Ru purposes of international pre		nination (under Rules 55.2 and
3. W	Vith regard to a	ny <b>nucleotide and</b> mination was carr	I/or amino acid	sequence disclosed in the passis of the sequence listing	international g:	application, the international
X	X contained in the international application in printed form.					
				tion in computer readable	form.	
	_	ibsequently to th				
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the						
. <b>L</b> _	international application as filed has been furnished.  The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writen sequence listing has					
	The statement been furnished	nt that the informated.	ion recorded in o	computer readable form is id	entical to the	writen sequence listing has
4. X	The amend	ments have resul	ted in the cance	ellation of:		
•••	_ 🗇	escription, pages	NONE			
		laims, Nos.	NONE			
		rawings, sheets	fig NONE			
5. Г		-		amendments had not been ma	ade, since they	have been considered to go
_	hevond the	disclosure as filed	as indicated in the	he Supplemental Box (Rule 1	70.2(c)).**	
in		es which have been	furnished to the r	eceivino Office in response to	an invitation u	under Article 14 are referred to ain amendments (Rules 70.16
**A	nu /V.1/). Anv ranlacement	cheet containing	such amendment:	s must be referred to under	item 1 and a	nnexed to this report.

# l and and

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/25458

III. No	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application.			
x	claims Nos. <u>17-18, 20</u>			
	because:			
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify).			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify).			
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
X	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 17-18, 20			
"	no international source report the constitution of the constitutio			
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:				
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/25458

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial app	licability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement	

1. statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	7-8, 14-16, 19 1-6, 9-13	YES NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims Claims	19 1-16	YES NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-16, 19 NONE	YES NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-6, 9-13 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Marra et al.

Marra et al. disclose a mouse cDNA. Therefore, a fragment of the polynucleotide of the reference, would potentially be a single nucleotide. The cDNA of the reference would hybridize to the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 5-8 in the absence of specific hybridization conditions recited. A fragment of the cDNA of the reference would potentially be any nucleotide capable of selectively hybridizing to the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:5-8 described in the instant application. Therefore, the cDNA sequence disclosed in the Marra et al. reference meets the limitations of a polynucleotide molecule encoding a fragment of SEQ ID NO:1-4.

Claims 1-6, 9-13 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Soares.

Soares disclose a rat DNA. Therefore, a fragment of the polynucleotide of the reference, would potentially be a single nucleotide. The DNA of the reference would hybridize to the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 5-8 in the absence of specific hybridization conditions recited. A fragment of the DNA of the reference would potentially be any nucleotide capable of selectively hybridizing to the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:5-8 described in the instant application. Therefore, the DNA sequence disclosed in the Soares reference meets the limitations of a polynucleotide molecule encoding a fragment of SEQ ID NO:1-4.

Claims 7-8, 14-16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Marra et al.

The teachings of Marra et al have been set forth above. However, Marra fails to teach a method of producing a polypeptide encoded by the cDNA, an antibody to the polypeptide produced and a method for detecting a polynucleotide in a sample using the claimed polynucleotide.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of the instant invention, to have incorporated the DNA identified by Marra, into an expression vector and host cell to facilitate the production and characterization of the protein (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)



#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/25458

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

**CLASSIFICATION:** 

The International Patent Classification (IPC) and/or the National classification are as listed below: IPC(7): A61K 38/17, 38/19; C12N 5/10, 15/12, 15/19, 15/63, 15/64; C07K 14/47, 14/52, 16/18, 16/24 and US C1.: 530/350, 351, 387.1, 387.9, 388.1, 388.23; 536/23.1, 23.5, 24.3, 24.31; 435/6, 69.1, 69.5, 71.1, 71.2, 471, 325, 252.3, 254.11, 320.1; 514/2, 8, 12, 885; 424/85.1

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

encoded thereby by employing those methods that were old and well known in the art of molecular biology at the time that the instant invention was made. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to produce antibodies to the protein, to study the localization of the protein in the cell. It would also have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of the instant invention, to use the claimed polynucleotide as a probe for the detection of related polynucleotides.

Claims 7-8, 14-16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Soares.

The teachings of Soares have been set forth above. However, M\Soares fails to teach a method of producing a polypeptide encoded by the cDNA, an antibody to the polypeptide produced and a method for detecting a polynucleotide in a sample using the claimed polynucleotide.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of the instant invention, to have incorporated the DNA identified by Soares, into an expression vector and host cell to facilitate the production and characterization of the protein encoded thereby by employing those methods that were old and well known in the art of molecular biology at the time that the instant invention was made. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to produce antibodies to the protein, to study the localization of the protein in the cell. It would also have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of the instant invention, to use the claimed polynucleotide as a probe for the detection of related polynucleotides.

Claims 1-16, meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), because the polynucleotide, polypeptide and methods of the instant invention are important in medicine.

Claim 19 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for treating or preventing a disorder associated with decreased expression or activity of GFRP, the method comprising administering to a subject a polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:1-4.

	NEW	CITATIONS	
NONE			